#### Machine Fusion is not Associative (or Commutative)

Ben Lippmeier, Amos Robinson Shonan Meeting Functional Stream Libraries and Fusion 2018/10/22













```
filterMax :: Vector Int -> (Vector Int, Int)
filterMax vec1
```

```
= let vec2 = map (+ 1) vec1
    vec3 = filter (> 0) vec2
    n = fold max 0 vec3
    in (vec3, n)
```

```
filterMax :: Vector Int -> (Vector Int, Int)
filterMax vec1
```

```
= let vec2 = map (+ 1) vec1
    vec3 = filter (> 0) vec2
    n = fold max 0 vec3
    in (vec3, n)
```

```
map f = unstream . mapS f . stream
filter p = unstream . filterS p . stream
fold f z = foldS f z . stream
```

= let vec2 = unstream (mapS (+ 1) (stream vec1))
 vec3 = unstream (filterS (> 0) (stream vec2))
 n = foldS max 0 (stream vec3)
 in (vec3, n)

```
map f = unstream . mapS f . stream
filter p = unstream . filterS p . stream
fold f z = foldS f z . stream
```

```
= let
```

```
vec3 = unstream (filterS (> 0)
                (stream (unstream (mapS (+ 1)
                     (stream vec1)))))
n = foldS max 0 (stream vec3)
in (vec3, n)
```

```
map f = unstream . mapS f . stream
filter p = unstream . filterS p . stream
fold f z = foldS f z . stream
```

```
= let
```

```
vec3 = unstream (filterS (> 0)
               (stream (unstream (mapS (+ 1)
                    (stream vec1)))))
n = foldS max 0 (stream vec3)
in (vec3, n)
```

```
= let
```

```
vec3 = unstream (filterS (> 0)
                (stream (unstream (mapS (+ 1)
                     (stream vec1)))))
n = foldS max 0 (stream vec3)
in (vec3, n)
```

```
RULE "stream/unstream"
forall xs. stream (unstream xs) = xs
```

```
= let
```

```
RULE "stream/unstream"
forall xs. stream (unstream xs) = xs
```

```
vec3 = unstream (filterS (> 0) (mapS (+ 1)
                                (stream vec1)))
n = foldS max 0 (stream vec3)
in (vec3, n)
```













- Cannot implement lazy unzip with sequential execution semantics in a space efficient way.
  - Noticed by John Hughes in his PhD thesis (1983)
  - Told to me by Peter Gammie
- Pattern arises frequently in vectorised code from DPH. We often combine a single segment descriptor or selector vector with many data vectors.

## **Problem** Short-cut stream fusion cannot fuse a producer into multiple consumers

### **Problem'**

Pull stream model does not support space efficient unzip

Push stream model does not support space efficient zip

### (a pleasing\* duality)

\*only pleasing in theory, not in practice.

# We need both Pull and Push (or maybe neither)

group

$$\begin{array}{c} & & & & & \\ \hline & & & & \\ \text{drop sIn1} \end{array} \xrightarrow{p \text{ or } p \text{ or$$

merge =  $\lambda$  (sIn1: Stream Nat) (sIn2: Stream Nat) (sOut2: Stream Nat). v (x1: Nat) (x2: Nat) (B0..E2: Label). process { ins: { sIn1, sIn2 } , outs: { s0ut2 } , heap: { x1 = 0, x2 = 0 } , label: B0 , instrs: { B0 = pull sIn1 x1 B1 [] , B1 = pull sIn2 x2 C0 [] , C0 = case (x1 < x2) D0 [] E0 [] , D0 = push sOut2 x1 D1 [] , D1 = drop sIn1 D2 [] , D2 = pull sIn1 x1 C0 [] , E0 = push sOut2 x2 E1 [], E1 = drop sIn2 E2 [] , E2 = pull sIn2 x2 C0 [] } }



proce	ess	1						group	merge
{ ins: { sin1, sin2 }									
<pre>, outs: { sOut1, sOut2 }</pre>								shared	
, hea	ap: { f =	$= T, \ I = 0, \ v = 0$	), x1	= 0, x2	= 0, b	1 = 0	}		
, Tabel: F0									
, ins	strs:								
{ F	F0 = pull	sIn1 b1	F1 [	]		F0	$= ((A0, {sIn1 = none})),$	(B0, {sIn1 = none,	sIn2 = none}))
, F	F1 = jump		F2 [	v = b1	]	F1	$= ((A0, {sIn1 = pending}))$	, (B0, $\{s In 1 = pending$	sIn2 = none))
, F	F2 = jump		F3 [	x1 = b1	]	F2	= ((A1,{sIn1 = have}),	(B0, {sIn1 = pending	sIn2 = none))
, F	F3 = case	(f    (l /= v))	F4 [	]	F5 [ ]	F3	$= ((A1, {sIn1 = have})),$	$(B1, {sIn1 = have},$	$sIn2 = none\}))$
, F	F4 = push	sOut1 v	F5 [	1 = v,	f = F ]	F4	$= ((A2, {sIn1 = have})),$	$(B1, {sIn1 = have,$	$sIn2 = none\}))$
, F	F5 = jump		F6 [	]		F5	$= ((A3, {sIn1 = have})),$	$(B1, {sIn1 = have},$	$sIn2 = none\}))$
, F	F6 = pull	sIn2 x2	F7 [	]		F6	= ((A0,{sIn1 = none}),	$(B1, {sIn1 = have,$	sIn2 = none}))
, F	F7 = case	(x1 < x2)	F8 [	]	F16 [ ]	F7	= ((A0,{sIn1 = none}),	(C0, $\{s In 1 = have,$	sIn2 = have}))
, F	F8 = push	sOut2 x1	F9 [	]		F8	= ((A0,{sIn1 = none}),	$(D0, \{sIn1 = have,$	sIn2 = have}))
, F	F9 = drop	sIn1	F10 [	]		F9	= ((A0,{sIn1 = none}),	(D1, {sIn1 = none,	sIn2 = have}))
, F	F10 = pull	sIn1 b1	F11 [	]		F10	= ((A0,{sIn1 = none}),	(D2, {sIn1 = none,	sIn2 = have}))
, F	F11 = jump		F12 [	v = b1	]	F11	= ((A0,{sIn1 = pending})	, (D2, $\{s \text{In } 1 = \text{pending}\}$	, sIn2 = have}))
, F	F12 = jump		F13 [	x1 = b1		F12	= ((A1,{sIn1 = have}),	(D2, {sIn1 = pending	, sIn2 = have}))
, F	F13 = case	(f    (l /= v))	F14 [	]	F15 [ ]	F13	= ((A1,{sIn1 = have}),	$(C0, {sIn 1 = have,$	sIn2 = have}))
, F	F14 = push	sOut1 v	F15 [	1 = v,	f = F ]	F14	$= ((A2, {sIn1 = have})),$	(C0, $\{s In 1 = have,$	sIn2 = have}))
, F	F15 = jump		F7 [	]		F15	= ((A3,{sIn1 = have}),	$(C0, {sIn 1 = have},$	$sIn2 = have$ }))
, F	F16 = push	sOut2 x2	F17 [	]		F16	= ((A0,{sIn1 = none}),	(E0, {sIn1 = have,	sIn2 = have}))
, F	F17 = drop	sIn2	F18 [	]		F17	= ((A0,{sIn1 = none}),	(E1, {sIn1 = have,	sIn2 = have}))
, F	F18 = pull	sIn2	F7 [	]		F18	= ((A0,{sIn1 = none}),	(E2, {sIn1 = have,	sIn2 = none}))
}									



#### where

 $F0 = ((A0, {sIn1 = none}), (B0, {sIn1 = none, sIn2 = none}))$   $F1 = ((A0, {sIn1 = pending}), (B0, {sIn1 = pending, sIn2 = none}))$   $F2 = ((A1, {sIn1 = have}), (B0, {sIn1 = pending, sIn2 = none}))$  $F3 = ((A1, {sIn1 = have}), (B1, {sIn1 = have, sIn2 = none}))$ 



where

$$\begin{array}{l} p = f \parallel (1 /= v) \\ F3 = ((A1, \{sIn1 = have\}), \ (B1, \{sIn1 = have, sOut2 = none\})) \\ F4 = ((A2, \{sIn1 = have\}), \ (B1, \{sIn1 = have, sOut2 = none\})) \\ F5 = ((A3, \{sIn1 = have\}), \ (B1, \{sIn1 = have, sOut2 = none\})) \\ F6 = ((A3, \{sIn1 = have\}), \ (C0, \{sIn1 = have, sOut2 = have\})) \end{array}$$



where

$$p = f \parallel (1 \neq v)$$
  
F3 = ((A1,{sIn1 = have}), (B1,{sIn1 = have, sOut2 = none}))  
F4 = ((A2,{sIn1 = have}), (B1,{sIn1 = have, sOut2 = none}))  
F5 = ((A3,{sIn1 = have}), (B1,{sIn1 = have, sOut2 = none}))  
F6 = ((A3,{sIn1 = have}), (C0,{sIn1 = have, sOut2 = have}))

• Could also do the pull first...

$$\begin{split} tryStep : & (Channel \mapsto ChannelType2) \rightarrow LabelF \rightarrow Instruction \rightarrow LabelF \rightarrow Maybe Instruction \\ tryStep cs (l_p, s_p) i_p (l_q, s_q) = match i_p with \\ & \text{jump} (l', u') & (LocalJump) \\ & \rightarrow \text{ Just (jump} ((l', s_p), (l_q, s_q), u')) & (LocalCase) \\ & \rightarrow \text{ Just (case } e(l'_l, u'_l) (l'_f, u'_f) & (LocalCase) \\ & \rightarrow \text{ Just (case } e(l'_l, s_p), (l_q, s_q), u'_l) ((l'_f, s_p), (l_q, s_q), u'_f)) & (LocalCase) \\ & \rightarrow \text{ Just (push } c e(l', s_p), (l_q, s_q), u'_l) & (LocalPush) \\ & \rightarrow \text{ Just (push } c e(l', s_p), (l_q, s_q), u'_l) & (LocalPush) \\ & \rightarrow \text{ Just (push } c e(l', s_p), (l_q, s_q]c \mapsto \text{ pending}_F]), u'[\text{ chan } c \mapsto e])) & (LocalPush) \\ & \rightarrow \text{ Just (push } c e(l', s_p), (l_q, s_q), u'_o) & (l'_c, s_p), (l_q, s_q), u'_c)) & (LocalPull) \\ & \rightarrow \text{ Just (pull } c x(l'_o, s_p), (l_q, s_q), u'_o) & (l'_c, s_p), (l_q, s_q), u'_c)) & (LocalPull) \\ & \rightarrow \text{ Just (pull } c x(l'_o, s_p), (l_q, s_q), u'_o) & (l'_c, s_p), (l_q, s_q), u'_c)) & (cs[c] = in1 \\ & \rightarrow \text{ Just (pull } c x(l'_o, s_p), (l_q, s_q), u'_o) & (l_q, s_q), u'_o(x \mapsto \text{ chan } c])) & (cs[c] = in2 \lor cs[c] = in1out1) \land s_p[c] = \text{ closed}_F & (SharedPullPending) \\ & \rightarrow \text{ Just (jump } (l'_c, s_p), (l_q, s_q), u'_o) & (l_q, s_q[c \mapsto \text{ chan } c])) & (cs[c] = in2 \land s_p[c] = \text{ none}_F \land s_q[c] = \text{ none}_F & (SharedPullClosed) \\ & \rightarrow \text{ Just (pull } c(\text{ chan } c) & ((l_p, s_p[c \mapsto \text{ closed}_F]), (l_q, s_q[c \mapsto \text{ closed}_F]), []) & ((l_p, s_p[c \mapsto \text{ closed}_F]), (l_q, s_q[c \mapsto \text{ closed}_F]), []) & ((l_p, s_p[c \mapsto \text{ closed}_F]), (l_q) & (l_p, s_q[c \mapsto \text{ closed}_F]), []) & (l_p, s_p[c \mapsto \text{ closed}_F]), (l_q, s_q[c \mapsto \text{ closed}_F]), []) & (l_p, s_p[c \mapsto \text{ closed}_F]), (l_p) & (l_p, s_q[c \mapsto \text{ closed}_F]), []) & (l_p, s_p[c \mapsto \text{ closed}_F]), (l_p, s_q[c \mapsto \text{ closed}_F]), []) & (l_p, s_p[c \mapsto \text{ closed}_F]), (l_p) & (l_p, s_q[c \mapsto \text{ closed}_F]), []) & (l_p, s_p[c \mapsto \text{ closed}_F]), (l_p, s_q[c \mapsto \text{ closed}_F]), []) & (l_p, s_p[c \mapsto \text{ closed}_F]), (l_p, s_q[c \mapsto \text{ closed}_F]), []) & (l_p, s_p[c \mapsto \text{ closed}_F]), (l_p, s_q[c \mapsto \text{ closed}_F]), []) & (l_p, s_p[c \mapsto \text{ clo$$

#### Stock Price Graph



data Record = Record
{ time :: Time
, price :: Double }

Unit price

```
priceAnalyses :: [Record] \rightarrow [Record] \rightarrow ((Line, Double), (Line, Double))
priceAnalyses stock index =
  let pot = priceOverTime
                               stock
       pom = priceOverMarket stock index
  in (pot, pom)
priceOverTime :: [Record] \rightarrow (Line, Double)
priceOverTime stock =
  let timeprices = map (\lambda r \rightarrow (daysSinceEpoch (time r), price r)) stock
  in (regression timeprices, correlation timeprices)
priceOverMarket :: [Record] \rightarrow [Record] \rightarrow (Line, Double)
priceOverMarket stock index =
  let joined = join (\lambdas i \rightarrow time s `compare` time i) stock index
       prices = map (\lambda(s,i) \rightarrow (\text{price } s, \text{ price } i))
                                                                joined
  in (regression prices, correlation prices)
```



```
partitionAppendFailure :: Vector Int \rightarrow IO (Vector Int)
partitionAppendFailure xs = do
  (apps, ()) \leftarrow vectorSize xs \ \ \lambda snkApps \rightarrow
    $$(fuse $ do
         x0
                \leftarrow source [|sourceOfVector xs|]
         (evens,odds) \leftarrow partition [|\lambda i \rightarrow even i |] \times 0
         evens' \leftarrow map [|\lambda i \rightarrow i \dot{d} v 2 |] evens
         odds' \leftarrow map [|\lambda i \rightarrow i * 2 |] odds
         apps ← append evens' odds'
         sink apps
                                        [|snkApps
                                                               ])
  return apps
```



bench/Bench/PartitionAppend/Folderol.hs:18:8: warning: Maximum process count exceeded: there are 2 processes after fusion. Inserting unbounded communication channels between remaining processes.

Input process network (4 processes):

Partially fused process network (2 processes):



[(b0,b0), (b1,b1) ... (bn,bn), (a0,c0), (a1,c1) ...]

append3 :: [a]  $\rightarrow$  [a]  $\rightarrow$  [a]  $\rightarrow$  ([a],[a],[a]) append3 a b c = let ab = a  $\leftrightarrow$  b ac = a  $\leftrightarrow$  c bc = b  $\leftrightarrow$  c in (ab, ac, bc)



### Fusion is neither Associative or Commutative.

- The access pattern of the result process depends on the order in which the source processes are fused.
- Not all orders produce a result process with an access pattern that can be fused with successive processes.
- We don't have a way to decide on the fusion order other than heuristics and trying all the orders.
- Will likely cause combinatorial explosion in pathological cases.
- How do we prune the search space, session types?

normalize2 :: Array Int -> (Array Int, Array Int)
normalize2 xs

= let sum1 = fold (+) 0 xs
gts = filter (> 0) xs
sum2 = fold (+) 0 gts
ys1 = map (/ sum1) xs
ys2 = map (/ sum2) xs
in (ys1, ys2)







$$\begin{array}{rcl} \text{Minimise} & 25 \cdot x_{sum1,gts} + 1 \cdot x_{sum1,sum2} + 25 \cdot x_{sum1,ys2} + \\ & 25 \cdot x_{gts,sum2} + 25 \cdot x_{gts,ys1} + 1 \cdot x_{sum2,ys1} + \\ & 25 \cdot x_{ys1,ys2} + 5 \cdot c_{gts} + 5 \cdot c_{ys1} + 5 \cdot c_{ys2} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \text{Subject to} & -5 \cdot x_{sum1,gts} & \leq \pi_{gts} - \pi_{sum1} & \leq 5 \cdot x_{sum1,gts} \\ & -5 \cdot x_{sum1,sum2} & \leq \pi_{sum2} - \pi_{sum1} & \leq 5 \cdot x_{sum1,sum2} \\ & -5 \cdot x_{sum1,sum2} & \leq \pi_{ys2} - \pi_{sum1} & \leq 5 \cdot x_{sum1,sum2} \\ & -5 \cdot x_{gts,ys1} & \leq \pi_{ys1} - \pi_{gts} & \leq 5 \cdot x_{gts,ys1} \\ & -5 \cdot x_{gts,ys1} & \leq \pi_{ys2} - \pi_{ys1} & \leq 5 \cdot x_{sum2,ys1} \\ & -5 \cdot x_{ys1,ys2} & \leq \pi_{ys2} - \pi_{ys1} & \leq 5 \cdot x_{gts,sum2} \\ & x_{gts,sum2} & \leq x_{sum1} - \pi_{gts} & \leq 5 \cdot x_{gts,sum2} \\ & x_{gts,sum2} & \leq \pi_{sum2} - \pi_{gts} & \leq 5 \cdot x_{gts,sum2} \\ & x_{gts,sum2} & \leq c_{gts} \\ & x_{gts,sum2} & \leq x_{sum1,sum2} \\ & x_{sum1,sum1} & \leq x_{sum1,sum2} \\ & x_{sum1,gts} & \leq x_{sum1,sum2} \end{array}$$



